

## Refine Search

### Search Results -

Terms	Documents
L21 and (directory with service or directory near service or directory adj service)	11

Database:

US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database  
 US Patents Full-Text Database  
 US OCR Full-Text Database  
 EPO Abstracts Database  
 JPO Abstracts Database  
 Derwent World Patents Index  
 IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins

Search:





### Search History

DATE: Monday, September 11, 2006    [Purge Queries](#)    [Printable Copy](#)    [Create Case](#)

<u>Set</u> <u>Name</u> side by side	<u>Query</u>	<u>Hit</u> <u>Count</u>	<u>Set</u> <u>Name</u> result set
<i>DB=PGPB,USPT,USOC,EPAB,JPAB,DWPI,TDBD; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>			
<u>L22</u>	L21 and (directory with service or directory near service or directory adj service)	11	<u>L22</u>
<u>L21</u>	L20 and users	53	<u>L21</u>
<u>L20</u>	L19 and domain	53	<u>L20</u>
<u>L19</u>	L18 and community	65	<u>L19</u>
<u>L18</u>	(query near rule and construct\$ or construct\$ near query near rule)	314	<u>L18</u>
<u>L17</u>	709/229	6471	<u>L17</u>
<u>L16</u>	709/227	6889	<u>L16</u>
<u>L15</u>	709/218	5140	<u>L15</u>
<u>L14</u>	709/217	9085	<u>L14</u>
<u>L13</u>	709/206	6153	<u>L13</u>
<u>L12</u>	709.clas.	47727	<u>L12</u>
<u>L11</u>	715/505	325	<u>L11</u>

<u>L10</u>	715.clas.	26571	<u>L10</u>
<u>L9</u>	707.clas.	37455	<u>L9</u>
<u>L8</u>	707/505	455	<u>L8</u>
<u>L7</u>	707/103r	1976	<u>L7</u>
<u>L6</u>	707/100	8643	<u>L6</u>
<u>L5</u>	707/10	13015	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>	707/4	5172	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	707/3	9197	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>	707/2	5596	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>	707/1	8409	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

[First Hit](#)[Previous Doc](#)[Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#)[Generate Collection](#)[Print](#)

L22: Entry 7 of 11

File: PGPB

Aug 29, 2002

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020120623 A1

TITLE: Searching and matching a set of query strings used for accessing information in a database directory

Abstract Paragraph:

Searching and matching a set of query strings used for accessing information in a database directory. In this disclosure, a user community administration tool queries a database directory containing user information associated with a user community. In the user community administration tool, there is an input query generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. An accessing component accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory. A partitioning component partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. A comparing component compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library. The comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determining component determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

Cross Reference to Related Applications Paragraph:

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/760,995, entitled "Delegated Administration Of Information In A Database Directory Using At Least One Arbitrary Group Of Users", filed Jan. 16, 2001, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/241,645 filed on Oct. 19, 2000, entitled "Approach And Design For Software To Facilitate Delegated Administration Of Information In A Database Directory".

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0002] This disclosure relates generally to accessing information in a database directory and more particularly to querying a database directory containing user information associated with a user community.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0003] Generally, a community is a group of people who typically share a common interest. With the advent of the Internet and e-commerce, many companies are forming communities through intranets and extranets, for employees, suppliers, partners and clients. The communities make it easier and less expensive for the employees, suppliers, partners and clients to work together. In the context of computer services, these people are known as computer users or simply users. Information on each of the users in the communities is stored in a broad range of directories and databases. The information may comprise the user's name, location, telephone number, organization, login identification, password, etc. Other information may comprise the user's access privileges to resources such as applications and content. The directories may also store information on the physical devices (e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers,

communication servers, etc.) in the networks that support the communities. Additional information may comprise the services (e.g., operating systems, applications, shared-file systems, print queues, etc.) available to each of the physical devices. All of the above information is generally known as community-based computer services.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0004] The administration (i.e., the creation, maintenance, modification, updating and disabling) of these community-based computer services becomes difficult as the communities grow in size and complexity. In many cases, administration becomes an almost impossible task, unless a community is subdivided into more manageable sub-communities. With the creation of these sub-communities, it becomes desirable to use a team of administrators who share responsibilities for administering the community by assigning different individuals to administer the sub-communities. This type of administration is referred to as delegated administration.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0005] Currently available administration tools that facilitate delegated administration do have their drawbacks. For instance, as the number of sub-communities grows, it becomes necessary to search through sub-community specifications to find any sub-community that satisfies a search pattern. There are several reasons why it may be necessary to search through sub-community specifications. One reason is to identify in which sub-communities a potential user would be a member. Another reason is to determine if a sub-community exists covering a subset of users with certain common characteristics. In effect, this type of search is analogous to finding all users with certain characteristics; however, the community search finds all communities with certain characteristics. The currently available administration tools do not have the functionality to search through sub-community specifications to find any sub-community that satisfies a search pattern. Therefore, there is a need for an administration tool that provides the capability to search through sub-community specifications to find any sub-community that satisfies a search pattern.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0008] In a third embodiment of this disclosure, there is a method and computer readable medium that stores instructions for instructing a computer system, to query a database directory containing user information associated with a user community. In this embodiment, an input query is generated having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. A library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory is then accessed. Each of the queries in the library is partitioned into logical units. Each logical unit comprises an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. For each of the queries in the library, the search pattern of the input query is compared to each partitioned logical unit. The comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determination of whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library is made.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0009] In another embodiment, there is a method and computer readable medium that stores instructions for instructing a computer system, to enable an administrator to query a database directory containing user information associated with a user community. In this embodiment, the administrator is prompted to generate an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. A library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory is accessed in response to the input query generated by the administrator. Each of the queries in the library is

partitioned into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. For each of the queries in the library, the search pattern of the input query is compared to each partitioned logical unit. The comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determination of whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library is made. The administrator is informed of whether there is a match with the input query.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0010] In a fifth embodiment, there is a user community administration tool for querying a database directory containing user information associated with a user community. In the user community administration tool there is an input query generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. An accessing component accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory. A partitioning component partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. A comparing component compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library. The comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determining component determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

Summary of Invention Paragraph:

[0011] In still another embodiment, there is a system for querying user information associated with a user community. This system comprises a database directory that contains a plurality of user information. A user community administration tool queries the database directory. The user community administration tool comprises an input query generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. An accessing component accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory. A partitioning component partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. A comparing component compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library. The comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determining component determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library. A computing unit is configured to serve the user community administration tool and the database directory.

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0012] FIG. 1 shows a schematic of an example of a user community;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0013] FIG. 2 shows an example of delegated administration of the user community shown in FIG. 1;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0014] FIG. 3 shows an example of a user community formed from at least one

arbitrary group of users;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0015] FIG. 4 shows a schematic of a general-purpose computer system in which a delegated administration tool that creates and administers at least one arbitrary group of users operates;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0016] FIG. 5 shows a top-level component architecture diagram of the delegated administration tool that creates and administers at least one arbitrary group of users and that operates on the computer system shown in FIG. 4;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0017] FIG. 6 shows a more detailed diagram of the domain searching component shown in FIG. 5;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0018] FIG. 7 shows an architectural diagram of a system for implementing the delegated administration tool that creates and administers at least one arbitrary group of users shown in FIG. 5;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0019] FIG. 8 shows a flow chart of the acts performed to create an administrative domain from at least one arbitrary group of users with the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0020] FIG. 9 shows a flow chart describing the acts performed to assign a user authority for an administrative domain formed from at least one arbitrary group of users with the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0021] FIG. 10 shows a flow chart describing the processing acts performed in response to receiving a query for a particular domain;

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0022] FIG. 11 shows a flow chart describing various acts performed in editing a query rule that is used to specify at least one arbitrary group of users for an administrative domain with the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5; and

Brief Description of Drawings Paragraph:

[0023] FIGS. 12a-12e show various screen displays that may be presented to a user of the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0024] FIG. 1 shows a schematic of an example of a user community receiving a community of services from a medical services provider. The example shown in FIG. 1 is illustrative of the concept of a user community and is not meant to limit this disclosure. In FIG. 1, Healthcare Providers A-D are communities that receive computer-based services from Medical Services Provider X. Examples of such computer-based services may comprise medical information, the ability to order medical supplies, the ability to schedule patient appointments, the ability to file claims for patient services. Other illustrative examples of computer-based services for this scenario may comprise benchmarking information, healthcare statistics and access to downloadable software. The healthcare providers may also want to provide the computer-based services to their clients, partners, vendors, suppliers, etc. In FIG. 1, Healthcare Provider B provides the computer-based services established from Medical Services Provider X to a Local Clinic and Local Hospital with which it has a relationship. The computer-based services can also be provided to their employees. In FIG. 1, the computer-based services are provided to the various

departments in the Local Hospital such as Cardiology, Radiology, Gastroenterology, Medical Research, etc. Similar types of distribution of the computer-based services can be provided for the other healthcare providers (i.e., Healthcare Providers A, C and D).

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0025] Medical Services Provider X stores information on each of the users in the community in a database directory. The information may comprise the user's name, location, telephone number, organization, login identification, password, etc. Other information may comprise the user's access privileges to certain resources provided by Medical Services Provider X such as applications and content. The database directory of Medical Services Provider may also store information on the physical devices (e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers, communication servers, etc.) in the networks that support the communities. Additional information stored in the database directory may comprise the services (e.g., operating systems, applications, shared-file systems, print queues, etc.) available to each of the physical devices.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0026] Since the user community shown in FIG. 1 can be quite large and complex, it is desirable to subdivide and delegate administration of these communities. FIG. 2 shows an example of delegated administration of the user community shown in FIG. 1. In this example, for each community there is an administrator that is responsible for managing a variety of activities that include but are not limited to modifying user information, updating permissions to certain resources, disabling user accounts, creating user accounts and maintaining user accounts. For instance, the SuperAdministrator manages the activities for Medical Services Provider X; Administrator A manages the activities for the Local Clinic associated with Healthcare Provider B and the Cardiology department of the Local Hospital; Administrator B manages the activities for Healthcare Providers A and B; Administrator C manages the activities for Healthcare Provider D; Administrator D manages the activities for the Local Hospital associated with Healthcare Provider B, the Medical Research departments for the Local Hospital associated with Healthcare Provider B, as well as the activities for Healthcare Provider C; Administrator E manages the activities for the Cardiology and Radiology departments of the Local Hospital associated with Healthcare Provider B; and Administrator F manages the activities for the Gastroenterology department of the Local Hospital associated with Healthcare Provider B. The extent to which Administrators A-F manage activities depends entirely on the type of authority that they have. Other forms of delegated administration for this example are possible as will be apparent to people skilled-in the art.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0027] For purposes of explaining the delegated administration provided with this disclosure, each block (i.e., Medical Services Provider X, Healthcare Providers A-D, Local Clinic, Local Hospital, Cardiology, Radiology, Gastroenterology, Medical Research) in the user community of FIG. 2 represents an administrative domain. An administrative domain is a managed object that comprises a set of users, a set of user attributes which can be modified, and a set of allowable values for those data fields over which an administrator has authority. Possible examples of user attributes may include but are not limited to employer, role or job description, resources that permission has been granted to access, address and equipment used. Generally, an administrator's authority may comprise edit authority and/or delegation authority. An administrator has edit authority within the administrative domain when he or she may edit certain attributes of the users. An administrator has delegation authority within the administrative domain when he or she may define a subset of the users and identify attributes for modification, in order to create an administrative sub-domain. The assignment of the administrative sub-domain to a person is the delegation of that domain. The ability to create an administrative sub-domain and to assign that domain to a user is delegation authority. Although

the authority described in this disclosure relates generally to edit authority and delegation authority, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other types of authority such as view, modify, delete, temporary delegation as well as similar operations, but with limitations on the extent of viewable, modifiable data, etc., are possible as well. These examples of authority can be used in addition to, in place of, or in combination with the delegation and edit authority.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0028] It is desirable to be able to create communities based on any user information without regard to structure or format of the underlying user data in the database directory. This would enable an administrator to administer user groups formed in many different and arbitrary sets, as opposed to groups that are formed from sets that are generally inflexible in definition (e.g., the strictly hierarchical organization model). For example, an administrator could administer any arbitrary grouping of users according to information such as the users' location, applications that users have access privileges to, contractual agreements that users have executed, etc.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0029] FIG. 3 shows an example of a user community formed from at least one arbitrary group of users. In FIG. 3, the user community comprises Radiologists as one group, employees of Healthcare Provider B as a second group and employees located in the state of Wisconsin as a third group. Administrator G is the administrator assigned to the three user communities. Assuming that Administrator G has been granted at least delegation authority for at least one community (it is possible that other types of authority such as edit, view, modify, delete, etc. can be granted), then he or she can form an administrative domain from these groups of users. In FIG. 3, the administrative domain formed by Administrator G comprises Radiologists that work for Healthcare Provider B in the state of Wisconsin. A crosshatched section in FIG. 3 represents the administrative domain of Radiologists that work for Healthcare Provider B in the state of Wisconsin. Assuming again that Administrator G has delegation authority, then he or she can grant administrative privileges for managing the administrative domain that comprises Radiologists that work for Healthcare Provider B in the state of Wisconsin. In FIG. 3, administrator G has assigned administrative privileges to Administrator H for the administrative domain that comprises of Radiologists that work for Healthcare Provider B in the state of Wisconsin. Assuming that Administrator H has been granted at least delegation authority for this domain from Administrator G, then it is also possible for Administrator H to create in administrative sub-domain from the domain of Radiologists that work for Healthcare Provider B in the state of Wisconsin by specifying an additional arbitrary user group from this domain. The specified additional arbitrary user group can be based upon whatever user attributes are desired without regard to structure or format of the underlying user data. For example, Administrator H could create a sub-domain for radiologists who are board certified, work in Madison, Wis., and work for Healthcare Provider B. Then Administrator H could grant administrative privileges to another administrator for this sub-domain if desired. The example shown in FIG. 3 is illustrative of the concept of creating a user community, administrative domain or sub-domain from at least one arbitrary group of users and is not meant to limit this disclosure.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0030] As an example, the above-described delegated administration capabilities for creating and administering at least one arbitrary group of users can be implemented in software. FIG. 4 shows a schematic of a general-purpose computer system 10 in which a delegated administration tool that creates and administers at least one arbitrary group of users operates. The computer system 10 generally comprises at least one processor 12, a memory 14, input/output devices, and data pathways (e.g., buses) 16 connecting the processor, memory and input/output devices. The processor 12 accepts instructions and data from the memory 14 and performs various



calculations. The processor 12 includes an arithmetic logic unit (ALU) that performs arithmetic and logical operations and a control unit that extracts instructions from memory 14 and decodes and executes them, calling on the ALU when necessary. The memory 14 generally includes a random-access memory (RAM) and a read-only memory (ROM); however, there may be other types of memory such as programmable read-only memory (PROM), erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM) and electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM). Also, the memory 14 preferably contains an operating system, which executes on the processor 12. The operating system performs basic tasks that include recognizing input, sending output to output devices, keeping track of files and directories and controlling various peripheral devices.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0031] The input/output devices may comprise a keyboard 18 and a mouse 20 that enter data and instructions into the computer system 10. Also, a display 22 may be used to allow a user to see what the computer has accomplished. Other output devices may include a printer, plotter, synthesizer and speakers. A communication device 24 such as a telephone or cable modem or a network card such as an Ethernet adapter, local area network (LAN) adapter, integrated services digital network (ISDN) adapter, or Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) adapter, that enables the computer system 10 to access other computers and resources on a network such as a LAN, a wide area network (WAN) or a wireless network. A mass storage device 26 may be used to allow the computer system 10 to permanently retain large amounts of data. The mass storage device may include all types of disk drives such as floppy disks, hard disks and optical disks, as well as tape drives that can read and write data onto a tape that could include digital audio tapes (DAT), digital linear tapes (DLT), or other magnetically coded media. The above-described computer system 10 can take the form of a hand-held digital computer, personal digital assistant computer, notebook computer, personal computer, workstation, mini-computer, mainframe computer or supercomputer.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0032] FIG. 5 shows a top-level component architecture diagram of a delegated administration tool 28 that can create and administer at least one arbitrary group of users and that operates on the computer system 10 shown in FIG. 4. The delegated administration tool 28 comprises a user group specifying component 29 that enables an administrator to specify at least one arbitrary group of users for a user community such as the one shown in FIG. 3. Each arbitrary group of users that is specified has attributes associated with each of its users and allowable values of these attributes. The administrator via the user group specifying component 29 uses combinations of possible attribute values for each of the users as criteria for specifying the at least one arbitrary group of users. The specified at least one arbitrary group of users can be based upon whatever user attributes are desired by the administrator without regard to structure or format of the underlying user data. For example, referring to FIG. 3, an administrator can use the user group specifying component 29 to utilize user attributes and values such as employer (Healthcare Provider B), job description (radiologist) and address (Wisconsin) to form a user community.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0033] The user group specifying component 29 forms the at least one arbitrary group of users through a query rule constructed by the administrator to query a database directory containing user information. The query rule defines the users within the at least one arbitrary group of users. Since the database directory may not be organized according to the desired grouping of users because of variables such as cross-functionalities of users, different locations of users, etc., the query rule aids the administrator in specifying the at least one arbitrary group of users. The formation of the at least one arbitrary group of users is dynamic because user data in the database directory that satisfies the query rule dynamically becomes a managed user within the at least one arbitrary group of users.

in real-time. That is, the at least one arbitrary group of users is formed on demand by execution of the query. Thus, if any new user is added to the database directory and his or her data would result in satisfying the query rule, then that user dynamically becomes a managed user within the domain formed from the at least one arbitrary group of users in real-time. Alternatively, if a user is removed from the database directory, then that user is dynamically and in real-time excluded as a managed user for the domain formed from the at least one arbitrary group of users. Also, if the user data changes such that a user's new data no longer satisfies the query, then the user is dynamically and in real-time excluded as a managed user for the domain. The dynamic formation of the at least one arbitrary group of users enables an administrator to determine who is currently in the administrative domain formed from the at least one arbitrary user group and who is not.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0034] A domain formation component 30 enables an administrator to form a user community, administrative domain or administrative sub-domain from the specified at least one arbitrary group of users such as the ones shown and described with FIG. 3. For example, referring to FIG. 3, the domain formation component 30 permits an administrator to form an administrative domain from the at least one arbitrary group of users that have user attributes and values for those who are employed by Healthcare Provider B, in the state of Wisconsin, as radiologists.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0035] The delegated administration tool 28 also comprises an administrative privileges component 32. The administrative privileges component 32 enables an administrator to grant administrative privileges for an administrative domain or administrative sub-domain that he or she has authority for in accordance with the above-described manner. The granted administrative privileges may comprise at least one of delegation authority and edit authority. As mentioned above, it is also possible to grant other types of authority such as view, modify, delete, temporary delegation, etc. These examples of authority can be used in addition to, in place of, or in combination with the delegation and edit authority.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0036] The administrative privileges component 32 also enables an administrator to define which users in an administrative domain or sub-domain that he or she operates and has authority for will have the granted administrative privileges. More specifically, an administrator can use this component to define various administrators for their operational domain by assigning delegation authority, edit authority or other types to a particular user. Administrators with delegation authority can also use the user group specifying component 29, domain formation component 30 and administrative privileges component 32 to form sub-domains from an additional group of users for their operational domain by constructing a query rule, defining administrative privileges for these newly formed sub-domains and defining who will have delegation authority, edit authority or other types for these sub-domains. As long as an administrator has delegation authority in a particular domain, it is possible to continue to use the user group specifying component 29, domain formation component 30 and administrative privileges component 32 to create a sub-domain from at least one arbitrary group of users using a query rule and delegate administration for the sub-domain that he or she operates in. For instance, using an earlier example, Administrator H could create a sub-domain for radiologists who are board certified, work in Madison, Wis., and work for Healthcare Provider B. Assuming that Administrator H has delegation authority, he or she can grant administrative privileges to other administrators, if desired, for this sub-domain. An administrator that is assigned delegation authority for this sub-domain can continue to create an additional sub-domain (e.g., board-certified radiologists working in Madison, Wis., for Healthcare Provider B, that are trained to use X-ray Scanner Z) of the current domain and grant authority for it to another administrator. It is possible to continue to an arbitrary level with respect to an

administrator's working domain.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0037] The delegated administration tool 28 also comprises an information management component 36 that manages information associated with each of the administrative domains in accordance with the delegated administrative privileges. Depending on the type of authority delegated, an administrator can use the information management component 36 to edit, view or delete specific attributes for a user in a domain. The information management component 36 is not limited to these functions and may perform other functions such as generating reports (e.g., reports on all users within a domain), analyzing data (e.g., determining how frequently some types of data change), performing statistical analysis or allowing users to perform self-administration on certain attributes (e.g., phone number, e-mail address, passwords, etc.).

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0038] FIG. 5 also shows that the information management component 36 comprises a domain searching component 37 that an administrator can use to query a database directory containing user information associated with the domains and sub-domains. As mentioned above, query rules are used to form the at least one arbitrary group of users. In this disclosure, the query rules are stored as a library apart from the database directory and are used to search and match domain and sub-domain specifications. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other approaches can be used to store the query rules such as executable code fragments. The domain searching component 37 searches the library of queries and finds those queries that exactly match or nearly match a given search pattern associated with an input query. Each pattern supplies an attribute name, logical operators (e.g., =, <=, >=, .about.=, etc.) and attribute values. For example, a possible pattern for an input query could be (title .about.=\*radiologist). In this example, title is the attribute name, .about.= is the logical operator and \*radiologist is the attribute value, where \* is a wildcard character that stands for one or more arbitrary characters. An example of one query in the library of queries could be (&(sn=\*Neil)(title=radiologist)), which finds people with a surname that has Neil (e.g., O'Neil, McNeil, etc.) in it and that has a title of radiologist. These examples are for the purpose of understanding the concepts presented in this disclosure and one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the input query and queries in the library could be more complicated. Using these examples, the domain searching component 37 would determine that there is either an exact match or near match between the input query and the query in the library. Below is a more detailed discussion on how and why the domain searching component 37 would find an exact match or near match between the two.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0039] FIG. 6 shows a more detailed diagram of the domain searching component 37 shown in FIG. 5. The domain searching component 37 comprises an input query generation component 39 that enables an administrator to generate an input query (e.g., (title.about.=\*radiologist)) having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. Generally, the input query generation component 39 generates the input query in response to the user's designation made through the computer system shown in FIG. 4. The domain searching component 37 also comprises an accessing component 41 that accesses the library of queries (e.g., (&(sn=\*Neil)(title=radiologist)) et al.) used for accessing the user information in the database directory. Once the accessing component 41 has accessed the library of queries, a partitioning component 43 partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. For instance, using (&(sn=\*Neil)(title=radiologist)) as an example of one query in the library, then (sn=\*Neil) would be one logical unit and (title=radiologist) would be another logical unit. Thus, the partitioning component 43 would partition this query and all other queries in the library into their respective logical

units.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0041] A determining component 47 determines whether there is an exact match or near match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library. In particular, the determining component 47 determines that there is an exact match if the attribute names are identical, the operator is equivalent and attribute values are equivalent. Note that the logical operators such as =, <=, >=, and .about.= are considered equivalent to each other and that the logical operator != is only equivalent to itself. Using the example above, the determining component 47 would determine that there is an exact match because there is a match between all of the comparisons. More specifically, the determining component 47 would determine that there is a match between the attribute name comparison (i.e., title), operator comparison (i.e., .about.= and =) and attribute value comparison (i.e., \*radiologist and radiologist). Therefore, the determining component 47 would return the query as (&(sn=\*Neil)(title=radiologist)) as an exact match. The comparison and match determining acts would continue for all of the other remaining queries in the library. Eventually, all of the exact matches are presented to the user.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0042] If the determining component 47 does not find an exact match with any of the queries in the library, then the determining component will look for a near match. A near match occurs if there is a match between at least one of the attribute name comparison and operator comparison. For example, if the input query is (title.about.=gastroenterologist), then the determining component 47 would note that there is a near match with a query that has the pattern of (&(sn=\*Neil)(title=radiologist)), because the attribute names (i.e., title) and operators (i.e., .about.= and =) are identical, even though the attribute values are not. Again, the comparison and match determining acts would continue for all of the other remaining queries in the library and all of the near matches are presented to the user. If there are no near matches, then the user is notified that there are no matches, either exact or near.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0043] The delegated administration tool 28 is not limited to a software implementation. For instance, the user group specifying component 29, domain formation component 30, administrative privileges component 32 and the information management component 36 shown in FIG. 5 may take the form of hardware or firmware or combinations of software, hardware, and firmware.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0044] In addition, the delegated administration tool 28 is not limited to the user group specifying component 29, domain formation component 30, administrative privileges component 32 and information management component 36 including the domain searching component 37. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the delegated administration tool 28 may have other components. For example, the delegated administration tool 28 could also include a workflow component that manages processes surrounding user creation and administration. Also, the delegated administration tool 28 could include a reporting component that reports usage statistics, error conditions, etc. There could also be a transactional management component that performs transactions using 2-phase commit/rollback. Still another component that the delegated administration tool 28 could include is a browsing component for viewing information associated with the hierarchy of administrative domains.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0045] FIG. 7 shows an architectural diagram of a system 38 for implementing the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5. FIG. 7 shows that there are several ways of accessing the delegated administration tool 28. A computing unit 40 allows

an administrator to access the delegated administration tool 28. The administrator could be the SuperAdministrator or administrators with delegation authority, edit authority or other types of authority. Also, users in the domain may access the delegated administration tool 28 through a computing unit 40 to perform some basic self-administration. The computing unit 40 can take the form of a hand-held digital computer, personal digital assistant computer, notebook computer, personal computer or workstation. The administrators and users use a web browser 42 such as Microsoft INTERNET EXPLORER or Netscape NAVIGATOR to locate and display the delegated administration tool 28 on the computing unit 40. A communication network such as an electronic or wireless network connects the computing unit 40 to the delegated administration tool 28. FIG. 7 shows that the computing units 40 may connect to the delegated administration tool 28 through a private network 44 such as an extranet or intranet or a global network 46 such as a WAN (e.g., Internet). As shown in FIG. 7, the delegated administration tool 28 resides in a server 48, which comprises a web server 50 that serves the delegated administration tool 28 and a database directory 52 (or directories) that contains the various information for the users in all of the domains that form the community. However, the delegated administration tool does not have to be co-resident with the server 48. If desired, the system 38 may have functionality that enables authentication and access control of users accessing the delegated administration tool 28. Both authentication and access control can be handled at the web server level by the delegated administration tool 28 itself, or by commercially available packages such as Netegrity SITEMINDER.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0046] The information in the database directory 52 as mentioned above may comprise information such as the user's name, location, telephone number, organization, login identification, password, etc. Other information may comprise the user's access privileges to certain resources such as applications and content. The database directory 52 may also store information on the physical devices (e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers, communication servers, etc.) in the networks that support the communities. Additional information stored in the database directory 52 may comprise the services (e.g., operating systems, applications, shared-file systems, print queues, etc.) available to each of the physical devices. In addition, the database directory 52 comprises a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the directory. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the library of queries does not have to be stored in the database directory 52 and instead can be stored in another similar or different type database directory or any permanent persistent storage device. The database directory 52 can take the form of a lightweight directory access protocol (LDAP) database; however, other directory type databases with other types of schema can be used with the delegated administration tool 28, including relational databases, object-oriented databases, flat files, or other data management systems.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0047] Using the system 38 shown in FIG. 7, an administrator such as a SuperAdministrator or an administrator with delegation or edit authority can use the delegated administration tool 28 to administer a community using at least one arbitrary group of users. Also, users of the community can use the delegated administration tool 28 to perform some self-administration. FIG. 8 shows a flow chart describing the acts performed to create an administrative domain from at least one arbitrary group of users with the delegated administration tool 28. To create an administrative domain, the user must be either a SuperAdministrator or an administrator having delegation authority. At block 54, the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority signs in. The sign-in act can include entering identity and security information (e.g., a valid username and password). The delegated administration tool validates the username and password at 56. The delegated administration tool then determines if the user has permission (i.e., the user is a SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority) to create

an administrative domain at 58. If the user is not authenticated or does not have permission to create an administrative domain, then the user is not allowed to create a domain.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0048] At 60, the user identifies attributes that can be handled for the administrative domain. As mentioned above, attributes comprise any data, which describe information about a user (e.g., employer, job description, resources that permission has been granted to access, address, equipment used, etc.). If desired, some of the attributes can be restricted. For example, a country attribute can be restricted to a limited set of country abbreviations. For instance, in order to represent the countries United States, Canada and Mexico, a set of values can be defined such as USA, CAN or MEX, respectively. For some of these kinds of restricted attributes, it may be desirable to have the restricted attributes appear in the display to the user in the form of a pull-down menu. All of the attributes that are identified can then be viewed, edited or deleted at a subsequent time. At 62, the user assigns allowable values and operations for these identified attributes where needed.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0049] Next, the user specifies at least one arbitrary group of users using attribute values or combinations of these values that are associated with users in a user community. In particular, the user constructs a query rule at 64 to obtain the at least one arbitrary group of users specified for the administrative domain from the database directory. The results of the query define the members of the groups of users in the community or domain. After the query rule has been constructed, the community or domain is formed at 65. Next, the database directory is updated at 66 with the data for the newly created administrative domain. If an administrator with delegation authority wants to create another domain from their operational domain, then blocks 58-66 are repeated. Otherwise, any time a SuperAdministrator or an administrator with delegation authority desires to create an administrative domain for their operational domain, then blocks 54 through 66 are repeated. Note that a SuperAdministrator for a user community can perform any function to an administrative domain that he or she desires such as create, modify, delete, view, etc.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0050] FIG. 9 shows a flow chart describing the acts performed to assign a user delegation authority, edit authority or other types of authority for a domain. The only users that can assign delegation authority and/or edit authority are either a SuperAdministrator or an administrator having delegation authority. If the SuperAdministrator or administrator having delegation authority has not already logged onto the delegated administration tool, then he or she must sign in at 68. The delegated administration tool validates the username and password at 70. Alternatively, if the SuperAdministrator or administrator having delegation authority has already logged onto the delegated administration tool, then blocks 68-70 may be bypassed. The delegated administration tool determines which domains the user has delegation authority over, if any at 72. Thus, if the user is an administrator with delegation authority, then he or she will have permission to assign delegation authority and/or edit authority for their assigned domains.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0051] At 73, the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority selects a particular administrative domain to operate in. The SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority may select the administrative domain by inputting the desired domain or a string that describes the domain, or using a combination of both. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that there are other input techniques that can be used to select a domain. At 74, the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority searches for users in the database directory that satisfy search criteria that have been formulated. More

detail of the processing involved with these steps is provided below. The delegated administration tool parses and formats the search results and presents the results to the user at 76. The SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority then selects a single user from the results for assigning authority to that person at 78. The SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority then selects a sub-domain of the active domain for which authority will be assigned to that user at 79. Then the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority selects the type of authority (i.e., delegation authority, edit authority or other types of authority) that will be assigned at 80. If desired, the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority may set an expiration date for the assigned authority. After the authority has been assigned, the database directory is updated at 82 with this data. Thus, any time an administrator with delegation authority desires to delegate authority of an assigned administrative domain to another user, then at least blocks 73 through 82 are repeated.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0052] FIG. 10 shows a flow chart describing the processing acts performed in response to receiving a query for a particular domain. The processing begins by receiving the input query from the user at 84. As mentioned above, the input query has a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values. In response to the input query, the accessing component then accesses the library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory at 86. The partitioning component then partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units at 88. The comparing component then compares the input query against the first query at 90. As mentioned above, the comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. The determining component then determines if there is an exact match or a near match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library at 92. As mentioned above, an exact match comprises a match with all of the comparisons, while a near match comprises a match between at least one of the comparisons. If there is either an exact match or a near match, then results are noted at 94 and non-matches are noted at 96. Next, a determination is made at 98 to determine if there are any more queries in the query library to evaluate. If there are more queries to evaluate, then the next one is obtained at 99 and then steps 90-98 are repeated until there are no more queries in the library to evaluate. Once all of the queries in the library have been compared to the input query, then a list of all matches (i.e., exact and near) are sent to the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority at 101.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0053] FIG. 11 shows a flow chart describing various acts performed in editing a query rule for specifying at least one arbitrary group of users for an administrative domain or sub-domain. The only users that can edit a query rule for a particular domain are a SuperAdministrator and an administrator with delegation authority in the operational domain that includes the particular domain. If the SuperAdministrator or the administrator with delegation authority has not already logged onto the delegated administration tool, then he or she must sign in at 100. The delegated administration tool validates the username and password at 102. Alternatively, if the SuperAdministrator or the administrator with delegation authority has already logged onto the delegated administration tool, then blocks 100-102 may be bypassed. The delegated administration tool then determines which domains if any that the user has delegation authority over at 104. Thus, if the user is an administrator with delegation authority then he or she will have permission to edit a query rule for any sub-domains of their assigned domains.

Detail Description Paragraph:



[0054] At 106, the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority selects a particular administrative domain that contains the query rule that he or she would like to edit and that they have authority to do so. Generally, at this block the SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority inputs the domain name and/or a string that describes the domain. The delegated administration tool displays the current query rule associated with the at least one arbitrary group of users for the domain at 108. The SuperAdministrator or administrator with delegation authority then edits the query rule as desired at 110. The delegated administration tool parses and interprets the changes and updates the database directory at 112 with this data.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0056] FIGS. 12a-12e show various screen displays that may be presented to a user of the delegated administration tool shown in FIG. 5. These screen displays are for illustrative purposes only and are not exhaustive of other types of displays. Also, the actual look and feel of the displays can be slightly or substantially changed during implementation. FIGS. 12a-12b show screen displays that may be presented to a user after he or she logs into the delegated administration tool 28 and is interested in adding an administrative domain from at least one arbitrary group of users. In particular, FIG. 12a shows a screen display that enables a user to create or edit an administrative domain from at least one arbitrary group of users. In FIG. 12a, the user identifies the administrative domain name and attributes that can be handled for the domain. FIG. 12b shows a screen display that enables a user to construct or edit a query rule for specifying the at least one arbitrary group of users for forming an administrative domain or sub-domain. Each query rule logical unit on a line comprises an attribute field for searching, an operator such as "equal to", "less than", "greater than", "less than or equal to", "greater than or equal to", "not equal to", "contains", "does not contain", "excludes", or "does not exclude"; a field for specifying a string or pattern for searching the designated attribute; and another operator such as "AND", or "OR" for coupling this particular query rule to any other rules. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other fields and additional attribute operators can be used to construct a query rule. The screen display in FIG. 12b also presents the user with the option of constructing his or her own custom-made query rule. Constructing a custom-made query rule can be achieved by using Boolean logic, a natural language query or an SQL query.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0057] FIG. 12c shows a screen display that may be presented to a user after he or she logs into the delegated administration tool 28 and is interested in assigning delegation authority, edit authority or any other type of authority. In FIG. 12c, the user has selected a particular user for delegating administration and identifies the administrative domain name and the type of authority (e.g., delegation authority and/or edit authority) that the user will have over that domain. In addition, an expiration date for the assigned administrative domain and authority can be designated. Note that more than one administrative domain can be assigned to a user. Similarly, more than one user may be assigned to a domain. The selections for the domain name, the type of authority and expiration date appear in FIG. 12c as pull-down menus; however, other options for inputting data may be used if desired.

Detail Description Paragraph:

[0058] FIGS. 12d-12e show screen displays that may be presented to a user that is interested in searching for an administrative domain for a selected community. In particular, FIG. 12d shows a screen display that enables a user to specify a search criterion for a particular administrative domain, while FIG. 12e displays the list of domains and their query rules that matched the search criterion. In addition, the display in FIG. 12e shows that user has the option to enter another domain search criterion.



## CLAIMS:

7. A method for querying a database directory containing user information associated with a user community, comprising: generating an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; accessing a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory; partitioning each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value; for each of the queries in the library, comparing the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit, wherein the comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; and determining whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

12. A method for enabling an administrator to query a database directory containing user information associated with a user community, comprising: prompting the administrator to generate an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; accessing a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory in response to the input query generated by the administrator; partitioning each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value; for each of the queries in the library, comparing the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit, wherein the comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; determining whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library; and informing the administrator of whether there is a match with the input query.

18. A user community administration tool for querying a database directory containing user information associated with a user community, comprising: an input query generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; an accessing component that accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory; a partitioning component that partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value; a comparing component that compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library, wherein the comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; and a determining component that determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

21. A system for querying user information associated with a user community, comprising: a database directory containing a plurality of user information; a user community administration tool to query the database directory comprising an input query generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; an accessing component that accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory; a partitioning component that partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and

attribute value; a comparing component that compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library, wherein the comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; and a determining component that determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library; and a first computing unit configured to serve the user community administration tool and the database directory.

22. The system according to claim 21, further comprising a second computing unit configured to execute the user community administration tool served from the first computing unit over a network.

31. A computer-readable medium storing computer instructions for instructing a computer system to query a database directory containing user information associated with a user community, the computer instructions comprising: generating an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; accessing a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory; partitioning each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value; for each of the queries in the library, comparing the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit, wherein the comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; and determining whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

36. A computer-readable medium storing computer instructions for instructing a computer system to enable an administrator to query a database directory containing user information associated with a user community, the computer instructions comprising: prompting the administrator to generate an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical operators and attribute values; accessing a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory in response to the input query generated by the administrator; partitioning each of the queries in the library into logical units, wherein each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value; for each of the queries in the library, comparing the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit, wherein the comparing comprises comparing the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit; determining whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library; and informing the administrator of whether there is a match with the input query.

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#)      [Previous Doc](#)      [Next Doc](#)      [Go to Doc#](#)

☐ [Generate Collection](#) [Print](#)

L22: Entry 7 of 11

File: PGPB

Aug 29, 2002

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20020120623

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020120623 A1

TITLE: Searching and matching a set of query strings used for accessing information in a database directory

PUBLICATION-DATE: August 29, 2002

## INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	COUNTRY
Vivier, Barbara Jean	Niskayuna	NY	US
Aggour, Kareem Sherif	Schenectady	NY	US

## ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
General Electric Company				02

APPL-NO: 10/094894 [\[PALM\]](#)

DATE FILED: March 12, 2002

## RELATED-US-APPL-DATA:

Application 10/094894 is a continuation-in-part-of US application 09/760995, filed January 16, 2001, PENDING

Application is a non-provisional-of-provisional application 60/241645, filed October 19, 2000,

INT-CL-PUBLISHED: [07] G06F 7/00

## INT-CL-CURRENT:

TYPE	IPC	DATE
CIPS	G06 F 17/30	20060101
CIPS	G06 F 7/00	20060101

US-CL-PUBLISHED: 707/3

US-CL-CURRENT: [707/3](#)

REPRESENTATIVE-FIGURES: 1

## ABSTRACT:

Searching and matching a set of query strings used for accessing information in a database directory. In this disclosure, a user community administration tool queries a database directory containing user information associated with a user community. In the user community administration tool, there is an input query

generation component that generates an input query having a search pattern that includes a combination of attribute names, logical, operators and attribute values. An accessing component accesses a library of queries used for accessing the user information in the database directory. A partitioning component partitions each of the queries in the library into logical units. Each logical unit comprises a combination of an attribute name, logical operator and attribute value. A comparing component compares the search pattern of the input query to each partitioned logical unit for each of the queries in the library. The comparing component compares the attribute name of the input query to the attribute name in the logical unit, the operator used in the input query to the operator used in the logical unit and the attribute value in the input query to the attribute value in the logical unit. A determining component determines whether there is a match between the input query and any of the logical units associated with each of the queries in the library.

#### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0001] This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/760,995, entitled "Delegated Administration Of Information In A Database Directory Using At Least One Arbitrary Group Of Users", filed Jan. 16, 2001, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Serial No. 60/241,645 filed on Oct. 19, 2000, entitled "Approach And Design For Software To Facilitate Delegated Administration Of Information In A Database Directory".

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)

## Hit List

[First Hit](#)[Clear](#)[Generate Collection](#)[Print](#)[Fwd Refs](#)[Bkwd Refs](#)[Generate OACS](#)

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 1 of 1 returned.

☐ 1. Document ID: US 5941947 A

L31: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Aug 24, 1999

US-PAT-NO: 5941947

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5941947 A

**\*\* See image for Certificate of Correction \*\***

TITLE: System and method for controlling access to data entities in a computer network

DATE-ISSUED: August 24, 1999

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Brown; Ross M.	Bellvue	WA		
Greenberg; Richard G.	Redmond	WA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Microsoft Corporation	Redmond	WA			02

APPL-NO: 08/516573 [PALM]

DATE FILED: August 18, 1995

INT-CL-ISSUED: [06] G06F 17/00

INT-CL-CURRENT:

TYPE	IPC	DATE
CIPS	<u>G06 F</u> <u>21/00</u>	20060101
CIPS	<u>H04 L</u> <u>29/06</u>	20060101

US-CL-ISSUED: 709/225

US-CL-CURRENT: 709/225

FIELD-OF-CLASSIFICATION-SEARCH: 395/200.55, 395/200.56, 395/200.47, 395/200.48, 395/200.49, 395/186, 395/187.01, 395/188.01, 380/23, 380/24, 380/25, 709/225  
See application file for complete search history.

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<u>4184200</u>	January 1980	Wagner et al.	395/188
<u>4280176</u>	July 1981	Tan	395/188
<u>4432057</u>	February 1984	Daniell et al.	
<u>4493024</u>	January 1985	Baxter, II et al.	395/188
<u>4799153</u>	January 1989	Hann et al.	380/25
<u>4799156</u>	January 1989	Shavit et al.	
<u>4800488</u>	January 1989	Agrawal et al.	
<u>4858117</u>	August 1989	Dichiara et al.	395/188
<u>4899136</u>	February 1990	Beard et al.	
<u>4914571</u>	April 1990	Baratz et al.	
<u>5079765</u>	January 1992	Nakamura	
<u>5113499</u>	May 1992	Ankney et al.	395/325
<u>5140689</u>	August 1992	Kobayashi	
<u>5151989</u>	September 1992	Johnson et al.	
<u>5187790</u>	February 1993	Fast et al.	
<u>5247676</u>	September 1993	Ozur et al.	
<u>5257369</u>	October 1993	Skeen et al.	
<u>5265250</u>	November 1993	Andrade et al.	
<u>5291597</u>	March 1994	Shorter et al.	
<u>5307490</u>	April 1994	Davidson et al.	
<u>5321841</u>	June 1994	East et al.	
<u>5329619</u>	July 1994	Page et al.	
<u>5341477</u>	August 1994	Pitkin et al.	
<u>5347632</u>	September 1994	Filepp et al.	
<u>5355497</u>	October 1994	Cohen-Levy	
<u>5367621</u>	November 1994	Cohen et al.	
<u>5371852</u>	December 1994	Attanasio	
<u>5388255</u>	February 1995	Pytlik et al.	
<u>5396626</u>	March 1995	Nguyen	
<u>5423003</u>	June 1995	Berteau	
<u>5434994</u>	July 1995	Shaheen et al.	
<u>5444848</u>	August 1995	Johnson et al.	
<u>5455932</u>	October 1995	Major et al.	
<u>5463625</u>	October 1995	Yasrebi	
<u>5473599</u>	December 1995	Li et al.	
<u>5475819</u>	December 1995	Miller et al.	
<u>5481720</u>	January 1996	Loucks et al.	
<u>5483652</u>	January 1996	Sudama et al.	
<u>5490270</u>	February 1996	Devarakonda et al.	
<u>5491800</u>	February 1996	Goldsmith et al.	
<u>5491817</u>	February 1996	Gopal et al.	
<u>5491820</u>	February 1996	Belove et al.	
<u>5497463</u>	March 1996	Stein et al.	
<u>5499342</u>	March 1996	Kurihara et al.	
<u>5500929</u>	March 1996	Dickinson	

<u>5513314</u>	April 1996	Kadasamy et al.
<u>5526491</u>	June 1996	Wei.
<u>5530852</u>	June 1996	Meske et al.
<u>5544313</u>	August 1996	Shachanai et al.
<u>5544327</u>	August 1996	Dan et al.
<u>5548724</u>	August 1996	Akizawa et al.
<u>5548726</u>	August 1996	Pettus
<u>5551508</u>	September 1996	Pettus et al.
<u>5553239</u>	September 1996	Heath et al.
<u>5553242</u>	September 1996	Russell et al.
<u>5559969</u>	September 1996	Jennings
<u>5564043</u>	October 1996	Siefert
<u>5572643</u>	November 1996	Judson
<u>5581753</u>	December 1996	Terry et al.
<u>5592611</u>	January 1997	Midgely et al.
<u>5596579</u>	January 1997	Yasrebi
<u>5596744</u>	January 1997	Dao
<u>5608865</u>	March 1997	Midgely et al.
<u>5608903</u>	March 1997	Prasad et al.
<u>5617568</u>	April 1997	Ault et al.
<u>5617570</u>	April 1997	Russell et al.
<u>5619632</u>	April 1997	Lamping et al.
<u>5650994</u>	July 1997	Daley
<u>5666519</u>	September 1997	Hayden
<u>5675723</u>	October 1997	Ekrot et al.
<u>5675796</u>	October 1997	Hodges et al.
<u>5696895</u>	December 1997	Hemphill
<u>5774668</u>	June 1998	Choquire et al.

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Operating System Concepts, Fourth Edition, Abraham Silberschatz and Peter B. Galvin, pp. 361-380, 431-457, .COPYRGT.1994.

Inside Windows NT, Helen Custer Foreword by David N. Cutler, The Object Manager and Object Security, Chapter Three, pp. 49-81. .COPYRGT.1993.

So . . . Just What is this First Class Thing Anyway? (visited Oct. 10, 1995) <<http://orion.edmonds.wednet.edu/ESD/FC/AboutFC.html>>.

Colton, Malcolm, "Replicated Data in a Distributed Environment," IEEE (1993).

Coulouris et al., "Distributed Transactions," Chapter 14 of Distributed Systems Concepts and Design 2.sup.nd Ed., 409-421 (1994).

Cox, John, "Sybase Server to Add Complexity User for Challenge with Data Replication," Communication No. 483 (1993).

Eckerson, Wayne, "Users Give Green Light for Replication," Network World (Jul. 19, 1993).

Edelstein, Herb, "The Challenge of Replication," DBMS vol. 8, No. 4, 68 (Apr. 1995).

Edelstein, Herb, "Microsoft and Sybase are Adding their Unique Touches to SQL Servers," Information Week, No. 528, 62 (1995).

Edelstein, Herb, "Replicating Data," DBMS vol. 6, No. 6, 59 (Jun. 1993).

Gouhle, Michael, "RDBMS Server Choice Gets Tougher," Network World, 52 (May 23, 1994).

Heylighen, Francis, "World-Wide Web: A Distributed Hypermedia Paradigm for Global

Networking," Proceedings of the SHARE Europe Spring Conference, 355-368 (1994).  
International Telecommunications Union, CCITT Blue Book vol. VIII Data  
Communication Networks Directory, 3-18 (1989).  
King, Adrian, "The User Interface and the Shell," Inside Windows 95, Chapter 5  
(1994).  
Pallatlo, John, "Sybase Lays Out Blue Print for Client/Server Networks," PC Week,  
vol. 9, No. 461, 6 (1992).  
PR Newswire Association, Inc., "America On-line Publicly Previews World Wide Web  
Browser," Financial News Section (May 9, 1995).  
Quereshi, "The Effect of Workload on the Performance and Availability of Voting  
Algorithms," IEEE (1995).  
Rexford, Jennifer, "Window Consistent Replication for Real-Time Applications," IEEE  
(1994).  
Richman, Dan, "Sybase to Enhance RDBMS," Open System Today, No. 111 (1992).  
Terry, Douglas, "Session Guarantees for Weekly Consistent Replicated Data," IEEE  
(1994).  
Wang, Yongdong, "Data Replication in a Distributed Heterogenous Database  
Environment," IEEE (1994).

ART-UNIT: 277

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Ramirez; Ellis B.

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Leydig, Voit & Mayer, Ltd.

ABSTRACT:

Access rights of users of a computer network with respect to data entities are specified by a relational database stored on one or more security servers. Application servers on the network that provide user access to the data entities generate queries to the relational database in order to obtain access rights lists of specific users. An access rights cache on each application server caches the access rights lists of the users that are connected to the respective application server, so that user access rights to specific data entities can rapidly be determined. Each user-specific access rights list includes a series of category identifiers plus a series of access rights values. The category identifiers specify categories of data entities to which the user has access, and the access rights values specify privilege levels of the users with respect to the corresponding data entity categories. The privilege levels are converted into specific access capabilities by application programs running on the application servers.

66 Claims, 13 Drawing figures

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	PublC	Draw D
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	-------	--------

Clear	Generate Collection	Print	Fwd Refs	Bkwd Refs	Generate OACS
-------	---------------------	-------	----------	-----------	---------------

Terms	Documents
'5941947'.pn.	1



**Display Format:**  **Change Format**

[Previous Page](#)

[Next Page](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)